#### **PAPER • OPEN ACCESS**

## The Effect of Inoculation Mycorrhiza and Organic Fertilizer and Dab Fertilizer in the Availability NPK for Local Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)

To cite this article: Saja Saad Jasim and Jawad Abdel-Kadhim Kamal 2022 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* **1060** 012010

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

#### You may also like

- <u>The fficiency of Mycorrhiza biofertilizer</u> treatment to the growth and yield of soybean
- Samanhudi, B Pujiasmanto, Sudadi et al.
- <u>The Role of Teak Leaves (*Tectona grandis*), <u>Rhizobium, and Vesicular-</u> <u>Arbuscular Mycorrhizae on Improving Soil</u> <u>Structure and Soil Nutrition</u> <u>Yuliani and Y S Rahayu</u></u>
- <u>Combined application of native</u> mycorrhizal and cellulolytic fungi to manage drought effects on maize F Fikrinda, S Syafruddin, S Sufardi et al.



#### The Electrochemical Society Advancing solid state & electrochemical science & technology

## 242nd ECS Meeting

Oct 9 – 13, 2022 • Atlanta, GA, US Early hotel & registration pricing ends September 12

Presenting more than 2,400 technical abstracts in 50 symposia

The meeting for industry & researchers in

ENERGY TECHNOLOG



ECS Plenary Lecture featuring M. Stanley Whittingham, Binghamton University Nobel Laureate – 2019 Nobel Prize in Chemistry



This content was downloaded from IP address 37.238.243.21 on 03/08/2022 at 14:47

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science

# The Effect of Inoculation Mycorrhiza and Organic Fertilizer and Dab Fertilizer in the Availability NPK for Local Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)

Saja Saad Jasim<sup>1</sup> and Jawad Abdel-Kadhim Kamal<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>College of Agriculture, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq.

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: fa.so.mas.20.12@qu.edu.iq

Abstract. A field experiment was carried out in one of the fields of Al-Qadisiyah Governorate, Al-Shafi'iyah district, for the season 2021/2022 using soil (Sandy loam) to study the effect of inoculation mycorrhizal, organic fertilizer and dab fertilizer on Availability NPK of local wheat plants (RCBD) design. The experiment included three factors of inoculation mycorrhizal (G0, G1) and organic fertilizer (0-1-2) tons, ha, and mineral fertilizer (0-50%-100%) and the lsd test was calculation the least significant difference at the 0.05 level, where it showed that there is a significant effect and the superiority of the treatment inoculated with Mycorrhizal fungus on the comparison treatment in nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium, and the average reached (2.229%), (0.298%), (1.475%), as well as the treatment of organic fertilizer (P2) over the control treatment (P0) in nitrogen (2.313%) and the superiority of treatment (P1) in phosphorous (0.321%), and the treatment (P2) in potassium (1.493%). The treatment of mineral fertilizer at the level of 50% was superior in nitrogen, which amounted to (2.116%), and in phosphorous, the treatment exceeded 100% (D2), where it reached an average of (0.310%), and in potassium, the treatment exceeded 100% (D2) (1.478%), while in The case of binary interference between G.

Keywords. Mycorrhiza, Organic fertilizer, Dab fertilizer, NPK.

#### 1. introduction

The Iraqi agricultural sector faces many problems, foremost of which is the low availability of important elements in the soil as well as the low quality of production, and to increase the quality and quantity of the yield, farmers turned to the application of clean agriculture in order to reduce pollution and natural materials were used, including (organic fertilizers, bio fertilizers) that work on increase the yield.

As well as the fact that chemical fertilizers are of high prices, which increases the cost of agricultural production, and also organic and biological fertilizers are an alternative that farmers resort to to reduce the problems of chemical fertilizers [1], and the mycorrhizal fungus is a group of fungi that form a symbiotic relationship with the roots of plants, where they extend their hyphae and overlap with the roots of other types of plants, which range in number (90-80%), and exchange benefits, that is, transfer water and nutrients from plant to plant[2].

Also, the plant infected with the mycorrhizal fungus has the ability to withstand environmental conditions, including drought, salinity, and because of its symbiotic subsistence, it did not receive

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

ISAESC-2022		IOP Publishing
IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science	1060 (2022) 012010	doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1060/1/012010

enough studies, but its importance began to increase day after day, because it supports the vegetation cover. Agricultural soils

As for organic fertilizers, they are one of the most important foundations that provide the plant with vital nutrients that enhance its growth and development, such as nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium and the rest of the other elements[3], as well as when adding organic fertilizers to the soil has positive effects, including improving the soil structure and its ability to retain With water and nutrients, but it increases the amount of organic matter in the soil, that is, the upper layer of soil that contains crion [4], and the addition of waste, whether it is (poultry, cows, sheep) to the soil works on the readiness of nutrients by increasing organic carbon content [5].

Fertilizer DAP is one of the most important fertilizers that is a source of nitrogen, phosphorous, ammonium, while N, P are the essential nutritional vitamins required by plants in large quantities because they play an important function in building proteins, and there are different degrees of DAP fertilizer stages that have results It differs on the leaves of the plant, because it is acidic, i.e. due to the decomposition of ammonium, in which there is nitrogen, which is the main compound in the necessary substances that enter into the construction of the plant [6], and the readiness of NPK elements increases its absorption by the plant and leads to an increase in the activity of The important processes in the plant and this is reflected in the biological processes [7], and in order to form the vegetative part, an increase in the amount of NPK elements is required to meet the requirements for the formation of plant parts, especially grains in the grassy family [8],

The wheat crop (Triticum aestivum L) is one of the important crops that has been used as food for humans since thousands of ages. There was a total area planted with wheat in Iraq, where it was planted (6500) years ago, as well as in Egypt (5000-6000) years ago, and in China (1000) years ago [9], where the harvested area in Iraq reached (1.20 million hectares) and the production rate (2.40 million tons), and despite the large cultivated areas, it was insufficient to meet the basic needs, and it was necessary to seek to produce new varieties [7],

As for the year (2019), the total production in Iraq was estimated at (4343,000 tons), as well as a source of amino acids, protein, vitamins and dietary fiber [10] .the Thesis aims to study the following points:-

- The Effect of Glomus mosseae on the growth and yield of local
- The Effect of the interaction between fertilizer DAP and cow manure on the growth and yield of local.
- The Effect of Glomus mosseae, Cow manure and fertilizer DAP and the interaction between them on the growth and yield of local.

## 2. Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out in an agricultural field belonging to one of the agricultural fields in Al-Shafi'iyah district during the winter agricultural season (2021-2022), where the soil texture was (Sandy Loam), and all operations were performed before planting in terms of trotting, plowing, smoothing and leveling, as well as they were divided into experimental units, as each experimental unit's area is 16 m (m 4 x 4 m) and a factorial experiment was applied by designing randomized complete sectors (RCBD). , where it was three replicates, as each replicate contains 18 experimental units, in addition to that, random samples were taken from the field soil with a depth of (0-30 cm) and all soil analysis was conducted before planting.

Where the Mycorrhizal inoculation was added at two levels (G0 (no addition), (G1 added) and three levels of organic manure (cow waste) (0-1-2 tons. ha  $^{-1}$ ) and three levels of dab fertilizer (0-50%-100%) of the fertilizer recommendation, which contains the percentages of elements (P2O5 46%) and (18%N), as well as potassium sulfate containing (K2O47%) was added in one batch before planting, and urea containing (N46%) was added in three batches, the first before planting, and the second batch after 45 A day of planting, and the third batch is in the flowering stage. The data were statistically analyzed and the arithmetic averages were compared using the (LS D) test, the least significant difference at the level of (0.05).

The studied characteristics were studied, which are:-

Five leaves of the plant were taken from each experimental unit and washed with distilled water and dried in the electric oven at 65 °C, after that they were ground and sieved with a sieve with a diameter of 2 mm, and then they were digested as concentrated solutions were added to the plant samples weighing 0.2 g and left until obtaining After that, the following estimates were made:

- Determination of nitrogen in plants:- The digested samples were taken, and nitrogen in plants was estimated using a steam distillation apparatus.Micro kjeldahl) according to [11].
- Estimation of phosphorous in plants: the digested samples were taken and the percentage of phosphorous was estimated using a device (Spectro photometer [12].
- Estimation of potassium in plants:- Where the digested samples were taken and the percentage of potassium was estimated using the photoluminescent device (Flame photometer) [13]. **Table 1.** Physical and chemical soil analyzes before planting.

Unit	the value		Adjective
-	7.5		pH
ds. m <sup>-</sup>	2.8		Ec
gm kg soil <sup>–</sup>	7.0	C	D. M organic matter
CmoIc L <sup>-</sup>	10.25		CEC
gm kg soil <sup>-1</sup>	Sandy loam		texture
	619.8	Clay	
gm kg soil <sup>-1</sup>	231.9	Sand	Soil Separators
	148.9	Silt	
	10.50	Ν	
A	9.59	Р	Ready ions
Amalgam kg soil <sup>-1</sup>	115.57	Κ	
	15.4	Ca	
	14.07	Mg	Positive dissolved ions
	15.30	Na	
	Nill	CO3	
mmol liter <sup>-1</sup>	5.24	HCO3	Negative dissolved ions
	19.12	SO4	
CFU gm of dry soil	1.93×10 <sup>3</sup>		holistic fungi

## 3. Results and Discussion

## 3.1. The Percentage of Nitrogen in the Plant

Table No. (2) shows that inoculation with a fungusG. mosseae had a significant effect as it reached the highest mean when treatment (G1) amounted to (2.229)%, compared with the average of no addition treatment (G0), which reached (1.960)%, due to the fact that the fungus G. mosseae had a significant effect. mosseae has an important function in increasing the density of root hairs, and for this reason, it increases nitrogen uptake and its preparation for the plant, and this is consistent with,[14] The table also shows that organic fertilization had a significant effect, as it reached the highest average treatment (P2) and its average was (2.313) % at the level of (2 tons. hectares<sup>-1</sup>), compared with the control

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science

treatment (P0), which averaged (1.889) %, and the reason for this is because organic fertilizers have an important role in increasing the ability of soil to retain water This provides complete freedom of nitrogen movement and thus leads to an increase in nitrogen uptake by the plant and this is consistent with the results[15].

Table No. (2) shows that mineral fertilization has a significant effect on the nitrogen content in the plant, as it reached the highest average in the treatment of (D1) averaged (2.116)%, and the lowest average reached in the treatment of (D0) (2,084), and this is due to the increase in phosphorous uptake by the plant and this is consistent with [16]

The table also shows that the binary interaction between fungus G. mosseae and dab fertilizer There is a significant effect in the interaction where the treatment (G1 + D1) was superior, which reached the highest average of (2.237) % compared with the control treatment (G0 + D0), which reached the lowest average (1.944) %, and this may be the reason for this This leads to the increase in the nutritional needs as well as the micro-organisms that lead to an increase in the nitrogen element in the plant, As for the bilateral interaction between organic fertilizers and mineral fertilizers, there was a significant effect, where the treatment of (P2 + D1), which averaged (2.347)%, and the reason for this is that the fertilizer works to provide good conditions and increase the absorption of water and nutrients, as well as working to improve soil fertility by the roots of the plant and this is consistent with the results [17], as well as the table shows that the overlap The triple between the fertilizers had a significant effect, where the treatment (G1P2D1) was superior, which averaged (2.563)%, compared with the control treatment (G0P0D0), which averaged (1.752)%.

 Table 2. Shows the effect of mycorrhizal inoculation, compost and dab fertilizer on plant nitrogen content (%).

G	*P	da	b fertiliz	zer	Cow manure	Fungi
G	.1	D2	D1	<b>D</b> 0	Cow manure	Glomus mosseae
1.7	797	1.840	1.798	1.752	PO	
2.0	005	1.955	2.054	2.006	P1	G0
2.0	)77	2.027	2.130	2.074	P2	
1.9	981	1.980	2.021	1.943	PO	
2.1	157	2.155	2.127	2.188	P1	G1
2.5	550	2.546	2.563	2.539	P2	
0.024 1	LSD G*P		0.042		LSD	G*P*D
				G*D		
mediu	n fungi	D2	D1	D0	F	ungi
1.9	960	1.941	1.994	1.944		G0
2.2	229	2.227	2.237	2.223		G1
0.014	LSD G		0.024		LS	D G*D
				P * D		
average	residue	D2	D1	D0	Cow	manure
1.8	389	1.910	1.910	1.848		P0
2.0	)81	2.055	2.091	2.097		P1
2.3	313	2.287	2.347	2.307		P2
0.017	LSD		0.030		LS	D P*D
		2.084	2.116	2.084	Dab fertil	izer averages
			0.017		L	SD D

#### 3.2. The Percentage of Phosphorous in the Plant

Table No. (3) shows that fertilization with a fungusG. mosseae has a significant effect as the highest mean was reached in treatment (G1), which averaged (0.298)%, while the lowest average was reached in the no-addition treatment (G0) (0.280)%, and this is due to the extension of the fungus hyphae to the farthest distance from the rhizosphere And its ability to secret the enzyme phosphatase, which leads to the secretion of organic acids and phosphorous in the plant, and this is consistent with [18,19].

The table also shows that organic fertilization has a significant effect as it cancels the highest average in the treatment (P1) (0.321) % and the lowest average in the treatment (P0) (0.268) %, and the reason is due to the fact that organic fertilizers have the ability to reduce the fixation of phosphorous element and this leads to an increase in its absorption and the activity of enzymes that work to regulate the rate of photosynthesis as well as its effectiveness on Increasing phosphorous in plants

The table also shows that the mineral fertilizer has a significant effect, as it reached the highest average treatment (D2) (0.310) % and the lowest average when treating (D0) (0.256) %, and the reason for this is due to the increase in the percentage of phosphorous in the plant and also works to encourage nitrogen absorption in the vegetative part of the plant and this is consistent with[20,21].

The binary interaction between fungus G. mosseae and mineral fertilizer had a significant effect, where the treatment (G1D2) outperformed, where it reached an average of (0.322)% and the lowest average of treatment (G0D0) (0.257)%, and the reason for this is that the fungus G. mosseae has the ability to dissolve and increase the plant with phosphorous and this agrees with [22].

As for the interaction between organic fertilizers and mineral fertilizers, there was a significant effect, as the treatment outperformed (P1D2) averaged (0.340)%, and the lowest average was (0.235)% in the treatment (P0D0), and this is due to the fact that organic fertilization has the ability to improve soil fertility and increase its growth for the vegetative part of the plant, as well as mineral fertilization works to increase plant absorption of elements food and the speed of its dissolution, and this is consistent with [23].

As for the triple interaction, which gave a significant effect, the treatment outperformed (G1P1D1) in the phosphorous content in the plant, which averages (0.364)%. As for the comparison treatment (G0P0D0), which averages (0.216)%.

(	G* <b>P</b>	da	b fertili	zer	Cow manure	Fungi
, i	J.I	D2	D1	<b>D</b> 0	Cow manure	Glomus mosseae
0	.248	0.266	0.262	0.216	PO	
0	.300	0.325	0.295	0.280	P1	G0
0	.292	0.304	0.298	0.275	P2	
0	.287	0.326	0.281	0.255	PO	
0	0.341	0.355	0.364	0.305	P1	G1
0	.265	0.284	0.309	0.204	P2	
0.014	LSD G*P		0.025		LSD	G*P*D
				G*D		
mediu	um fungi	D2	D1	D0	F	ungi
0	.280	0.299	0.285	0.257		GO
0	.298	0.322	0.318	0.255		G1
0.008	LSD G		0.014		LS	D G*D
				P * D		
averag	ge residue	D2	D1	D0	Cow	manure
0	.268	0.296	0.271	0.235		P0
0	.321	0.340	0.330	0.293		P1
0	.279	0.294	0.304	0.239		P2
0.010	LSD		0.017		LS	D P*D
		0.310	0.301	0.256	Dab fertil	izer averages
			0.010		L	SD D

**Table 3.** Shows the effect of mycorrhizal inoculation, organic fertilizer and Dab fertilizer on plantphosphorous content (%)

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science

#### 3.3. The Percentage of Potassium in the Plant

Table No. (4) shows that fertilization with a fungusG. mosseae has a significant effect as it reached the highest average when the addition treatment (G1) (1.475)% and the non-additive treatment (G0) averaged (1.438) %, and the reason is due to the increased ability of the fungi to dissolve and absorb nutrients, including potassium, and this agrees With [24].

As for the organic fertilization, it had a significant effect, as it reached the highest average in the treatment of (P2) (1.493%), and the reason for this is that organic fertilization works to provide the plant with nutrients and their absorption by the plant, and this is consistent with [25].

The table also shows that mineral fertilizer has a significant effect, with the highest average in the treatment of (D2) (1.478) %, and the lowest average in the treatment (D0) (1.428) %, and the reason for this is due to the increase in the readiness of the nutrients that work on the absorption of elements by the plant as well as the increase in the vegetative total and this is consistent with [26,27]. The binary interaction between fungiG. mosseae and mineral fertilizer had a significant effect, as the highest average in the treatment (G1D2) (1.494)%, and the lowest average in the control treatment (G0D0) (1.402)%, and the reason for this is that the fungus G, mosseae works to dissolve the mineral fertilizer and transfer The vegetation cover with the nutrients that make up potassium, and this is consistent with [28,29], As well as the interaction between organic fertilizer and mineral fertilizer has a significant effect, as it reached the highest average in the treatment of (P2D2) (1.516) %, and the lowest average in the treatment (P0D0) (1.332) %, and this is due to the fact that the interaction between organic fertilizers and mineral fertilizers has the ability to increase nutrients as it is of great importance in providing appropriate conditions and increasing the nutrient readiness of the plant The triple interaction had a significant effect, the treatment outperformed (G1P2D2) in the potassium content in the plant, which reached the highest average (1.524)%, while the lowest average was in the comparison treatment (G0P0D0), which amounted to (1.270)%,

G*]	P	da	b fertiliz	ver	Cow manure	Fungi
U I	L	D2	D1	<b>D0</b>		Glomus mosseae
1.35	51	1.388	1.396	1.270	P0	
1.48	35	1.489	1.488	1.479	P1	G0
1.47	'9	1.508	1.470	1.458	P2	
1.42	24	1.446	1.432	1.394	P0	
1.49	94	1.510	1.513	1.460	P1	G1
1.50	)7	1.524	1.490	1.506	P2	
0.013 L	SD G*P		0.023		LSE	) G*P*D
				G*D		
medium	fungi	D2	D1	D0	H	Fungi
1.43	38	1.462	1.451	1.402		GO
1.47	'5	1.494	1.478	1.453		G1
0.0076	LSD G		0.013		LS	D G*D
				P * D		
average r	residue	D2	D1	D0	Cow	v manure
1.38	38	1.417	1.414	1.332		P0
1.49	00	1.500	1.501	1.469		P1
1.49	03	1.516	1.480	1.482		P2
0.0093	LSD		0.0162		LS	SD P*D
		1.478	1.465	1.428	Dab ferti	lizer averages
			0.0093		L	.SD D

Table 4. Shows the effect of mycorrhizal inoculation, organic fertilizer and Dab fertilizer on potassium content in plants (%).

#### References

- [1] Al-Delfi, H. F. K. 2013. The role of organic waste in reducing the effect of irrigation water salinity on soil properties and maize plant growth Mays Zea (L.). Master's Thesis. faculty of Agriculture. Albasrah university.
- [2] Al-Samarrai, I. K. 2003. Interfering effect of mycorrhizal fungusGlomus mosseae and Azotobacter Chroococcum improve efficiency of NP K uptake and increase wheat yield. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences. Volume (34), issue (2).
- [3] Al-Sahaf, F. H and Ati, S. A. 2007. Potato productionBOrganic farming. Effect of organic fertilization and spray on plant growth The yield of tubers and their qualitative characteristics. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 82-65: (4) 38.
- [4] Al Shuber, T. R. H. 2021 Effect of bio-inoculation and irrigation duration on the growth and yield of maize and the readiness of some elements. Master's thesis, College of Agriculture. Al-Qadisiyah University.
- [5] Bashir, A. Y.2003. Interaction between Mycorrhiza, Azotobacter and Azospirillum and its effect on the growth and yield of wheat. PhD thesis. faculty of Agriculture. Baghdad University.
- [6] Jassem, A.A.J, Nabil, J. K and Hussein, A. F. 2014. Effect of phosphate and biological fertilization on yield and its indicators for tomato cropmill Lycopersicon esculentum. Diyala Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 6(2):195-204.
- [7] Jbeil, W. A. R and Faleh, H. F.2014 .Effect of different amounts of compound fertilizerNPK in growthwheat varieties (Triticum aestivum L.). Al-Muthanna Journal of Agricultural Sciences. (2): (2). 29-33.
- [8] Khalifa, K. M, Iyad, A. H, Iyad, A. H and Taha, A. A. 2016. The effect of the interaction between the mycorrhizal fungiGlomus mosseae), Trichoderma (Trichoderma Harzianum) and phosphate fertilization in maize growth. Tikrit University Journal of Agricultural Sciences. Volume 16.
- [9] Hussein, M. J and Jamal, A. A. 2016. Effect of organic and chemical fertilization on some growth indicators of potato plantsSolanum tubers soum L. safrane. The Jordanian Journal of Agricultural Sciences Volume (13) Issue (2): 515-524.
- [10] Odeh, M and Al-Eisi, A. 2003. The effect of using different types of organic fertilizers on the biological and fertility properties of soil. Al-Baath University Journal of Engineering Sciences. Volume 25, Issue 8, pp. 185-201.
- [11] Abad Al-Rasoul, Q. J. 2007. Evaluation of the effect of organic and mineral fertilization in the case of potassium release and absorptionand potato donut production. PhD thesis. faculty of Agriculture. Baghdad University.
- [12] Taha, S. M. R. 2007. Fertilisers Biodynamic and organic farming healthy food and a clean environment.
- [13] Arab Thought House. faculty of Agriculture. Ain-Shams University.
- [14] Taher, H.Z, Hussam. A. K. M and Al-Tahan, Y. H. .2016. A technical and economic study to compare the performance of different systems for cultivating wheat in Kirkuk Governorate. Kirkuk University Journal of Agricultural Sciences. Volume 9 Issue 3 2018.
- [15] Youssef, M. Y. 2018. Effect of different levels of dab fertilizer (DAP content in the leaves of Calotropis proceraof phenolic compounds andK, P, N. Damascus University Journal of Agricultural Sciences. Volume 34. Issue One. 77-97.
- [16] Abdelaziz, M. E 2010. Effect of different Microorgaisms and substrates on yield and fruit quality of grown in Hydroponic system Mondel University in Bron, Czecn Republi.
- [17] Das, D. K, andPuste, A. M. 2001. Influence of different organic waste materials on the transformation of nitrogen in soil. Scientific world journal, 12:658-663.
- [18] Dauda, S.N, Aliya, L and Chiezey, U. F. 2005. Effect of seedling age and trans plant and poultry manure of fruit yield and nutrients of garden egg varieties. J. Tropical Sci., 5(2), 38-41.
- [19] EL-Akabawy, M. A. 2000. Effect of some biofertilizers and farmyard manure on yield and nutrient uptake of Egyptian clover grown on lomy sand soil. Egyptian Journal of Agricultural Research. 78(5):200-213.
- [20] Strong, W. M and super R. J. 1974. Phosphorus Utilization by flax, wheat, rape and buck wheat from band or pellet – Like application. I\_Reaction zone root prlideration. Agron. J., 66:597-601.
- [21] Sanders, A. 2001. Organic potato production Green mount. Antrin. bt. 41.Uk.
- [22] Shewry, P. R. 2009 .Wheat .J.Expe .Bot., 60(6): 1537 -1553.
- [23] Tisdale, S. L. Nelson, J. D. B and Havlian, J. L. 1997. Soil fertilization prentice. Hall of India.

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 1060 (2022) 012010

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1060/1/012010
-------------------------------------

- [24] Tanwar, A.A.N.2012. effectiveness of endomycorrhizal fungi and psedmonas fluorescens under different phosphres levels on capsicum annum L. the changes in bacterial communities associated with roots and leaves. J Appl Microbiol 102:781-786.
- [25] Tendon, H.L.S. 2005. Methods of analysis of soils, plants, waters and fertilizers. Fertilization development and consultation organization, New Delhi. India.
- [26] Reuter, D.J and Robson, J. B. 1997. plant analysis: An interpretation manual (2nd edition). CSIRO publ., washington DC.
- [27] Obaid-Ur-Rehman, M. A, Rafa, H. U and Hassan, N. M. 2006. Effect of balanced fertilization on yield and phosphorus uptake in wheat-rice rotation. J .gric.,44 (2) :105-115
- [28] Van Schouwenberg, J. C. H and Walinge, I. 1973. Method of analysis for plant material. Agriculture University, Wagening, The Netherlands.
- [29] Wang ,B, Qiu,Y. L .2006.Phylogenetic distribution and evolution mycorrhizas in land plants. Mycorrhiza. 16:299-363.